UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,717	02/19/2004	Francis Pinault	Q79641	3210
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/20/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/780,717	PINAULT, FRANCIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptable acceptable content of the properties of the pro	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-19-2004.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 2614

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1 recites among other things: on receipt of a call request to a user known as called user from a user known as calling user, to access said memory in order to extract the lists of identifiers of terminals which are associated with the identifiers of said calling and called users, and then to determine, from these extracted lists, a calling and called terminal which is likely to establish a call between them which satisfies the call request of the calling user, in accordance with at least one availability criterion. This claim limitation requires that calling user initiates a call to a called user through a switch or server which determines identifiers of calling and called user terminals and then select the best terminals to satisfy call request in accordance with at least one availability criterion. This presupposes two stage process: first phase is used to first find out identifies of the calling and called terminals to satisfy some availability criterion for the call; second phase server/or switch after determining identifiers of the calling and called terminals which satisfy the availability criterion for the call, should initiate call to calling terminal and called terminal identified in the first phase and connect the call.

Art Unit: 2614

Applicant's specification does not disclose this or it is not clear from applicant's disclosure how this is accomplished.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 21-22, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Ono et al. (JP07-264298, hereinafter Ono).

Regarding claim 1, Ono discloses a device (Drawing 4) for the management of communication between communication terminals (for example: video telephone system, facsimile equipment, an electronic mail, voice mail etc), characterized in that includes a memory (2, Drawing 4) capable of storing identifiers of users in correspondence with lists of at least one communication terminal identifier and possessing means (not shown) arranged, on receipt of a call request to a user known as called user from a user known as calling user, to access memory (2, Drawing 4) in order to extract the lists of identifiers of terminals which are associated with the identifiers of the calling and called users, and then to determine, from these identified lists, a calling and a called terminal which is likely to establish a call between them which satisfies the call request of the calling user, in accordance with at least one availability criterion (Drawings: 4-7; abstract; paragraphs: 0007 - 0015).

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claims 2-3, 6-7, 21-22, 25, Ono further discloses the following: the processing means (not shown) are arranged to determine the calling and called terminals, as well as communication medium (reads on plural network interfaces: see abstract) which is suitable for the calling and called terminals characterized in that determined medium is that capable of providing for the transmission of the greatest number of different types of data, communication which satisfies the request should take place via at least one communication network presenting a state of availability of resources, where the processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the state of availability of the communication network resources, the processing means are arranged to effect their determination in accordance with at least one other criterion, known as auxiliary criterion (such as the availability of video telephone, or facsimile, or voice mail, etc), processing means, after determination of the calling and called terminals, to order the establishment of the call between the calling and called terminals, the processing means are arranged to order the establishment automatically, it includes at least one management device (reads on database 2 management, Drawing 4) in accordance with claim 1 Drawings: 4-7; abstract; paragraphs: 0007 - 0015).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

6. Claims 4-5, 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono in view of McKinnon et al. (US 2003/0135624, filed 12-27-2001, hereinafter McKinnon).

One differs from claim 4 in that he does not specifically teach: processing means are suitable of effecting their determination with the state of availability of the calling and called terminals.

However, McKinnon discloses dynamic presence management which teaches the following: processing means are suitable of effecting their determination with the state of availability of the calling and called terminals (abstract; paragraphs: 0029, 0031).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ono's system to provide for the following: processing means are suitable of effecting their determination with the state of availability of the calling and called terminals as this arrangement would facilitate to connect the call depending upon availability of users to receive communications, thus facilitating effective communications as taught by McKinnon.

Regarding claim 5, Ono teaches the following: memory (2, Drawing 4) is suitable for storing the communication terminal identifiers (paragraph: 0010).

Ono differs from claims 8-9 in that he does not specifically teach: the processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the state of accessibility of users, where accessibility constitutes auxiliary criterion, memory capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with their state of accessibility.

Art Unit: 2614

However, McKinnon teaches the following: the processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the state of accessibility of users, where accessibility constitutes auxiliary criterion, memory capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with their state of accessibility (abstract; paragraphs: 0029, 0031).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ono's system to provide for the following: the processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the state of accessibility of users, where accessibility constitutes auxiliary criterion, memory capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with their state of accessibility as this arrangement would provide means for effecting optimal communication between communication parties as taught by McKinnon.

7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono in view of Horvitz (WO02/075495 A2).

One differs from claims 10-12 in that he does not specifically teach the following: processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with preferences of terminal use of the calling and/or called users, where the preferences of use constitute an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with a terminal use preferences, at least one of the users is associated with a company which has a defined policy for the use of terminals/or media, and that the processing module are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the policy of use, where the policy of use constitutes an auxiliary condition.

Art Unit: 2614

However, Horvitz discloses system and method for identifying and establishing preferred modalities or channels for communications based on participants' preferences and contexts which teaches the following: processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with preferences of terminal use of the calling and/or called users, where the preferences of use constitute an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with a terminal use preferences, at least one of the users is associated with a company which has a defined policy for the use of terminals/or media, and that the processing module are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the policy of use, where the policy of use, where the policy of use constitutes an auxiliary condition (page 24, line 11 – page 26, line 5; figs. 4, 8-9; page 37, line 22 – page 39, line 25).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ono's system to provide for the following: processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with preferences of terminal use of the calling and/or called users, where the preferences of use constitute an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with a terminal use preferences, at least one of the users is associated with a company which has a defined policy for the use of terminals/or media, and that the processing module are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the policy of use, where the policy of use constitutes an auxiliary condition as this arrangement would provide means for effecting optimal communication between communication parties as taught by Horvitiz.

Page 8

Ono differs from claims 13-14 in that he does not specifically teach the following: processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the level of quality associated with the calling ands/or called users, where the quality levels constitute an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with a information representing communication quality.

However, Weik discloses method, devices and program modules for data transmission with assured quality of service which teaches the following: processing means (reads on gate way) are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the level of quality associated with the calling ands/or called users, where the quality levels constitute an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with a information representing communication quality (col. 2 lines 51-63; col. 10 lines 17-51).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ono's system to provide for the following: processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with the level of quality associated with the calling ands/or called users, where the quality levels constitute an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with a information representing communication quality as this arrangement would facilitate to transmit data with required quality of service between communication terminals as taught by Weik.

9. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono in view of Gessel (US PAT: 5,790,647).

Ono differs from claims 15-16 in that he does not specifically teach: processing means are capable of effecting their communication in accordance with the hierarchical level of the calling and/or called users, where the hierarchical level constitutes an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with information representing a hierarchical level in the company.

However, Gesel discloses subscriber feature services in a telephone communication system which teaches the following: processing means (reads on telephone exchange) are capable of effecting their communication in accordance with the hierarchical level of the calling and/or called users, where the hierarchical level constitutes an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with information representing a hierarchical level in the company (col. 3 lines 48-61).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ono's system to provide for the following: processing means are capable of effecting their communication in accordance with the hierarchical level of the calling and/or called users, where the hierarchical level constitutes an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing the user identifiers in correspondence with information representing a hierarchical level in the company as this arrangement would provide means for automatically effecting desired call handling features depending upon the importance of the call as taught by Gessel.

10. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono in view of Thornton et al. (US PAT: 6,363,065, hereinafter Thornton).

Ono differs from claims 17-18 in that he does not specifically teach the following: processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with geographical location information of the calling and/or called users, and the topology of at least one communication network in which the communication takes place, where the geographical location constitutes an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing user identifiers in correspondence with information representing a geographical location.

However, Thornton discloses apparatus for voice over IP (VOIP) telephony gateway and methods for use therein which teaches the following: processing means (reads on gateway) are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with geographical location information (for example long distance callers) of the calling and/or called users, and the topology of at least one communication network (internet or PSTN) in which the communication takes place, where the geographical location constitutes an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing user identifiers in correspondence with information representing a geographical location (col. 6, line 61 – col. 7, line 6).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ono's system to provide for the following: processing means are capable of effecting their determination in accordance with geographical location information of the calling and/or called users, and the topology of at least one communication network in which the communication takes place, where the

geographical location constitutes an auxiliary criterion, memory is capable of storing user identifiers in correspondence with information representing a geographical location as this arrangement would provide means for selecting call connection type depending upon geographical location of callers and also provides cost savings for long distance calls as taught by Thornton.

11. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono in view of Khue (US PAT: 6,470,008).

One differs from claims 19-20 in that he does not teach the following: processing means are capable of effecting determination in accordance with connection resource availability of at least one communication network in which the communication must take place, constituting an auxiliary criterion, the processing system are arranged to transmit to the calling user data which are representative of the medium determined for the call.

However, Khue discloses interne5 routing system which teaches the following: processing means are capable of effecting determination in accordance with connection resource availability (for example availability of gateways to effect communalization) of at least one communication network in which the communication must take place, constituting an auxiliary criterion, the processing system are arranged to transmit to the calling user data which are representative of the medium determined for the call (col. 2 lines (col. 2 lines 27-38).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ono's system to provide for the following: processing

Art Unit: 2614

means are capable of effecting determination in accordance with connection resource availability of at least one communication network in which the communication must take place, constituting an auxiliary criterion, the processing system are arranged to transmit to the calling user data which are representative of the medium determined for the call as this arrangement would facilitate route the call based on the availability of network resources as taught by Khue.

12. Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono in view of Horvitz.

One differs from claims 23-24 in that it does not specifically teach: processing means are arranged to order the establishment in the event of receiving an authorization from the calling user and/or called user, processing means are able to determine another called terminal, and able to establish another call between them to satisfy the request, and/or another communication medium, in the event that it is not possible to establish communication.

However, Horvitz teaches the following: processing means are arranged to order the establishment in the event of receiving an authorization from the calling user and/or called user, processing means are able to determine another called terminal, and able to establish another call between them to satisfy the request, and/or another communication medium, in the event that it is not possible to establish communication (fig. 4, page 24, line 22 – page 25, line 7).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ono's system to provide for the following: processing

Art Unit: 2614

means are arranged to order the establishment in the event of receiving an authorization from the calling user and/or called user, processing means are able to determine another called terminal, and able to establish another call between them to satisfy the request, and/or another communication medium, in the event that it is not possible to establish communication as this arrangement would provide flexibility to set up calls between communication parties depending upon circumstances of communication parties as taught by Horvitz.

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

--(6,775,269) to Kaczmarcyzk et al. discloses the following: <u>SIP</u> supports five facets of establishing and terminating multi communications: user location (determination of the end system to be used for communication); user capabilities (determination of the media and media parameters to be used); user availability (determination of the willingness of the calling party to engage); call setup ("ringing," establishment of call parameters of both called and calling party); and call handling (including transfer and termination of calls; col. 5 lines 19-26).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melur Ramakrishnaiah/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Art Unit: 2614